Rare Bullous Pemphigoid During PD-1 Inhibitor Therapy: A Case Report
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1. Abstract
Immunotherapy is an important treatment modality in cancer, but it can also cause adverse reactions, with skin toxicity being the most common. The increasing number of immune checkpoint inhibitors being used in the clinic will inevitably cause an increase in the rate of adverse skin reactions that markedly affect the patient’s quality of life. A 58-year-old patient with intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma developed bullous pemphigoid (BP) nearly a year after using immune checkpoint inhibitors, which is different from what has been reported in the literature within two weeks of treatment. Pathologically, the skin biopsy diagnosis was epidermal hyperplasia and focal sub-epidermal pustule formation, consistent with drug-induced dermatitis. The patient was treated with methylprednisolone, minocycline, colchicine, nicotinamide, triamcinolone, and traditional Chinese medicine decoction. No new blisters developed after 1 week of treatment. The medication was gradually discontinued, and BP did not recur. Clinicians should carefully consider the risk-benefit ratio when using PD-1 inhibitors, particularly with respect to rash severity. Further studies are needed to investigate relationship between adverse skin reactions and drug efficacy.

2. Introduction
Immune checkpoint inhibitors, such as anti-programmed cell death 1 (PD-1), can enhance the anti-tumor function of T cells and increase the activity of the immune system. However, normal tissues and organs can be affected by an overactive immune system, causing an immune-related adverse reaction [1,2]. Adverse skin reactions are the most common side effects of immune checkpoint inhibitor treatment. However, adverse skin reactions are completely atypical [3]. We report herein a case of uncommon features of adverse skin from immunotherapy treatment. The case is the first that we have experienced to present such features since using PD-1 inhibitor treatment.
shrinkage was confirmed, the patient underwent hepatectomy on March 18, 2020, and a 1.5×1.1 cm mass was resected during the operation. Subsequently, the patient received six cycles of capcitabine (1.5 g bid) chemotherapy combined with lenvatinib targeted therapy and toripalimab (240 mg day 1) immunotherapy. In August 2020, the patient developed erythema and blisters around the umbilicus during hospitalization. After oral administration of loratadine tablets and topical use of mometasone furoate cream, the erythema and blisters subsided, and lenvatinib was discontinued. In September 2020, he was re-admitted to the hospital for immunotherapy with toripalimab. More than 10 days later, the patient’s skin developed multiple ring-shaped erythemas, some of which were fused into pieces and scattered in blisters, with a tight blister wall and clear blister fluid. This was accompanied by erosion; mild exudation; and scabs on the head, face, neck, torso, upper limbs, buttocks, and thighs (Figure 1). Pathologically, the skin biopsy diagnosis was epidermal hyperplasia; focal sub-epidermal pustule formation; interface inflammation; spongy edema; lymphocyte infiltration; and a small number of plasma cells, consistent with drug-induced dermatitis (Figure 2). Immunofluorescence and other tests showed negativity for ANTI-desmoglein 1, ANTI-desmoglein 3, and BP180; positivity for DIF: linear IgG and C3; and negativity for both IgM and IgA and IIF: IgG, IgM, IgA, and C3, confirming the diagnosis of bullous pemphigoid (BP). The patient was started on 80 mg oral prednisone and 100 mg oral minocycline daily. After 3 days of therapy, the dose of oral minocycline was increased to 200 mg/day as new blisters continued to appear. The patient was also started on oral niacinamide 900 mg/day and oral niacinamide 500 mg/day as adjunctive therapies. No new blisters developed after 1 week of treatment, and thus, the medication was gradually discontinued. BP did not recur thereafter. The patient was followed up every 3 months, and the most recent MRI did not reveal significant progress in the mass.

Figure 1. The patient’s skin developed multiple ring-shaped erythema, scattered in blisters, accompanied by erosion and scab on the neck (A-B), head, torso (C), upper limbs (D).

Figure 2. Histopathological of bullous pemphigoid.
4. Discussion

Adverse skin reactions are classified based on their toxicity. Grade I reactions mainly include rash or erythema without other related symptoms; grade II reactions cover less than 50% of the body surface area and include grade I reactions; and grade III reactions mainly include rash or blisters covering an area greater than 50%. Systemic ulcerative dermatitis or exfoliative dermatitis is a grade IV adverse skin reaction [4]. Most cases of adverse skin reactions after immunosuppressive agents are only grade I or II, and severe grade III and IV skin reactions are rarely reported [5-7]. Previous studies have reported an incidence rate of only 2.4-2.6% for severe (grade III and IV) immune-related adverse events (irAE) [8-10]. Rashes are common adverse skin reactions, with the typical rash being mild erythema or macular papules that are mainly distributed in the limbs and trunk. These usually appear within 2 weeks before treatment and can occur at any cancer stage [3,11,12]. Serious adverse skin reactions include bullous pemphigoid (BP), Stevens-Johnson syndrome (SJS), acute generalized exanthematous pustulosis (AGEP), and toxic epidermal necrolysis, but these are extremely rare [13-16]. Patients with BP have bursts of large and small bubbles with tight blister walls, accompanied by pruritus mainly distributed in the trunk and limbs [17]. The diagnosis of bullous pemphigoid is mainly based on histopathology and immunofluorescence. Histopathologic demonstrates a subepidermal blister. Inflammatory infiltration is usually pleomorphic, including neutrophils, eosinophils, and lymphocytes. Eosinophilic spongiosis or an infiltrate of eosinophils lining the basement membrane zone are typically observed. Direct immunofluorescence can detect IgG and/or C3 deposition at the basement membrane zone[18,19]. BP is caused by the autoimmune reaction of two hemidesmosomal proteins, BP180 and BP230 [20]. Meanwhile, severe cutaneous adverse reactions are rare, but their mortality rates are extremely high. SJS has a mortality rate of 10%, and 4% of cases of AGEP are life-threatening [21]. These conditions are further aggravated by serious clinical symptoms such as blisters, mucosal ulcers, or fever [3,22].

Although the pathogenesis of adverse skin reactions has not been fully clarified, it is generally believed that the PD-1 inhibitor pathway plays an important role in their occurrence. PD-1 inhibitors act on the surface of antigen-presenting cells in the initial and effector stages of T cell activation [23]. Only when the PD-1 inhibitor pathway is blocked that the body will produce an inflammatory response to the antigen. PD-1 inhibitors have been proven effective in the treatment of malignant tumors. It induces an anti-tumor response by releasing the negative regulation of the immune system, thereby reversing the inhibitory effects of T cells. Although PD-1 inhibitors are clinically effective for many cancers, they non-specifically activate the immune system, which may cause serious bullous pemphigoid. Bullous pemphigoid is an autoimmune skin disease, which is related to the use of PD-1 inhibitors, including pembrolizumab, niluzumab, and teriprizumab [24,25]. In a research report, about 1% of patients developed bullous disease on PD-1 inhibitors treatment[26]. PD-1 inhibitors may reduce the immunosuppressive effects of PD-1 receptor/PD-L1 ligand binding. Since PD-L1 ligands are also located on epithelial cells, these cells are attacked by the immune system and eventually lead to the development of bullous pemphigoid [27,28]. Skin-related adverse reactions usually occur within 1-2 weeks of PD-1 inhibitor treatment. Most patients have good prognosis, and mild symptoms can be easily controlled without the need for dose reductions in the immune checkpoint inhibitors. Meanwhile, the drug dosage should be carefully evaluated in patients who develop severe adverse skin reactions, and early identification and proper management are critical to the prognosis of these patients [29]. Mild rash or pruritus can be managed with a body cream or topical steroid. Under normal circumstances, it is not necessary to discontinue the immune checkpoint inhibitor to treat the mild rash or pruritus [23], but for serious adverse reactions (grade III or IV), oral high-dose corticosteroids (e.g., clobetasol), or oral antihistamines are needed [30,31]. In addition, keeping the patient’s body clean and moist is also helpful for managing adverse skin reactions. Our patient showed atypical features. In general, skin-related adverse reactions generally occur after a few weeks of PD-1 inhibitor treatment [32]. However, our patient developed severe adverse skin reactions after 1 year of treatment with PD-1 inhibitors. Oxaliplatin + gemcitabine chemotherapy combined with lenvatinib targeted therapy and toripalimab immunotherapy had a significant benefit. The unreactable tumor before treatment became resectable after treatment. However, the patient also developed adverse skin reactions. Interestingly, a recent study on patients receiving nivolumab treatment found that the appearance of rash is a good prognostic factor. Patients with adverse skin reactions had higher disease-free survival and overall survival rates than did patients without adverse skin reactions [33,34]. In conclusion, although PD-1 inhibitors are effective, the risk-benefit ratio should be carefully considered in patients who develop severe-treatment related rash. The relationship between the efficacy of PD-1 inhibitors and the severity of the rash needs to be clarified in further studies.
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